IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GILBERT RODRIGUEZ

2314 Fuller St.

Philadelphia, PA 19152

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

DOCKET NO.:

v.

PARADISE MANAGEMENT, LLC d/b/a GLEN AT LAFAYETTE HILL

555 Andorra Glen Court Lafayette Hill, PA 19444 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Gilbert Rodriguez (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Plaintiff against Aria Health System (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA" - 42 USC §§ 12101 et. seq.), the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA - 29 U.S.C. §2601 et. seq.), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). Plaintiff asserts, inter alia, that he was unlawfully terminated from Defendant. As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend the instant Complaint to include violations of the PHRA after full administrative exhaustion before the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Such claims will identically mirror Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein under the ADA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of civil rights under the FMLA and the ADA.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction in order to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted his administrative proceedings before initiating this action by timely filing and dual-filing his Charge with the EEOC and PHRC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.

- 8. Defendant Paradis Management, LLC is a property management company that owns, operates and management various properties including the location at which Plaintiff working in Lafayette Hill, Pennsylvania referred to as The Glen at Lafayette Hill.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed with Defendant from in or about December of 2013 through in or about June of 2016.²
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a Service Manager.
- 13. While employed with Defendant, Plaintiff was a dedicated and hard-working employee who performed his job well.
- 14. In or about March of 2016, Plaintiff began being supervised by a new Property Manager, one Casey Keckley ("Keckley").
- 15. Plaintiff was also indirectly supervised by Regional Property Manager, one Karen Fuerst.
- 16. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff suffered from disabilities related to his hip, which (at times) affected his ability to perform some daily life activities, including but not limited to lifting, climbing, and working.

² Plaintiff worked for Defendant's predecessor since in or about March of 2008.

- 17. Despite Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions and limitations, he was still able to perform the duties of his job well with Defendant; however, on occasion, Plaintiff did require a reasonable accommodation, including but not limited to time off from work to care for and treat for his aforesaid health conditions and light duty work.
- 18. During the last few months of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, he began confiding in Defendant's management, including but not limited to Keckley and Fuerst, about his aforesaid health conditions.
- 19. Plaintiff informed Keckley and Fuerst in the months leading up to his June 2016 termination that he was going to need surgery in or about July of 2016 and therefore needed time off in order to recover from such surgery.
- 20. Shortly after apprising Defendant's management of his aforesaid disabilities and need for time off to undergo surgery in the near future, Plaintiff began to be subjected to severe hostility and animosity from Keckley and Fuerst, including but not limited to being treated in a rude and demeaning manner, having policies selectively enforced against him and issuing him pretextual discipline.
- 21. During the last few weeks of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff informed Defendant's management, including but not limited to Keckley, of the specific date on which his hip surgery was scheduled for.
- 22. Shortly after apprising Keckley of the specific date on which he was scheduled for surgery (and therefore would be out of work for a period of time for recovery), Plaintiff was again subjected to increased hostility from Keckley, including but not limited to issuing him more pretextual discipline (on or about June 13, 2016).

- 23. On or about June 15, 2016, Plaintiff visited his physician regarding his aforesaid hip condition. Plaintiff's physician instructed that Plaintiff should avoid heavy lifting and climbing ladders until his surgery in July.
- 24. Plaintiff retrieved a doctor's note from his physician requesting that he be placed on "light duty at work including no heavy lifting and no ladder climbing until hip surgery in July."
- 25. On or about the morning of June 20, 2016, Plaintiff presented his doctor's note (requesting the reasonable accommodation of light duty) and his pre-admission testing forms (for his hip surgery scheduled for July) to Keckley.
- 26. Plaintiff worked the rest of the day on June 20, 2016 until about 3:45 p.m. when Keckley and Fuerst brought Plaintiff into a meeting and terminated his employment with Defendant.
- 27. The reasons offered for Plaintiff's termination were completely pretextual and Defendant's management failed to follow its own progressive discipline policy in terminating Plaintiff.
- 28. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated because of his actual/perceived/record of disabilities and/or in retaliation for requesting reasonable accommodations.

First Cause of Action <u>Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as Amended ("ADAAA")</u> ([1] Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; [3] Hostile Work Environment)

29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 30. Plaintiff suffered from qualifying health conditions under the ADA (as amended) which affected his ability (at times) to perform some daily life activities, as described *supra*.
- 31. Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodations from Defendant, including but not limited to time off from work to care for and treat for his health conditions and light duty.
- 32. Plaintiff was subjected to hostility, disparate treatment, and pretextual admonishment shortly after apprising Defendant's management of his aforesaid health conditions and need for reasonable accommodations.
- 33. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff by subjecting him to a hostile work environment, issuing him pretextual discipline and ultimately terminating his employment because: (1) of his known and/or perceived health problems; (2) his record of impairment; and/or (3) his requested accommodations
 - 34. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADAAA.

Second Cause of Action <u>Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")</u> (Interference and Retaliation)

- 35. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 36. Plaintiff was an eligible employee under the definitional terms of the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. § 2611(a)(i)(ii).
- 37. Plaintiff requested leave from Defendant, his employer, with whom he had been employed for at least twelve months pursuant to the requirements of 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(2)(i).
- 38. Plaintiff had at least 1,250 hours of service with the Defendant during his last full year of employment.

- 39. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs fifty (50) or more employees (with in a 75-mile radius of the location that Plaintiff worked for) for each working day during each of the twenty (20) or more calendar work weeks in the current or proceeding calendar year, pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(4)(A)(i).
- 40. Plaintiff was entitled to receive leave pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2612 (a)(1) for a total of twelve (12) work weeks of leave on a block or intermittent basis.
- 41. Defendant committed interference and retaliation violations of the FMLA by: (1) disciplining and terminating Plaintiff for requesting and/or exercising his FMLA rights; (2) by considering Plaintiff's FMLA leave needs in making the decision to terminate him; (3) disciplining and/or terminating Plaintiff to prevent him from taking FMLA-qualifying leave in the future; and (4) terminating Plaintiff shortly before he was schedule to commence an FMLA-qualifying leave.
 - 42. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the FMLA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and are to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered retaliation/discrimination at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages, as permitted by

applicable law(s) alleged asserted herein, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be

appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and

to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court

deems just, proper and appropriate including for emotional distress;

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in

applicable federal law; and

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square

Building 2, Ste. 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: February 7, 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
2/7/2017	A>	Plaintiff				
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)			
commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	side of this form for a detaile	ial or intense management by d explanation of special	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injur	y or property damage from	()			
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:				
In accordance with the Civplaintiff shall complete a Civiling the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other parts.	ase Management Track Designed as copy on all defendants. (Second that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	y Reduction Plan of this court, couns gnation Form in all civil cases at the ti See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re not agree with the plaintiff regarding se, submit to the clerk of court and ser rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	me of everse g said ve on			
DISE MANAGEMENT, LLC d/ YETTE HILL	•	NO.				
.v. .·	;					
	GUEZ :					

(Clv. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

The second of th

•	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff. 2314 Fuller Street, Philadelphia, PA 19152	
Address of Defendant: 555 Andorra Glen Court, Lafayette Hill, PA 194	444
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business	1
(Use Reverse Side For	•
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No M
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yesu NoX
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	vear previously terminated action in this count?
1. Is this case related to properly included it an earner finite red and perforing or witchin one y	Yes NoD
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	
action in this court?	
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier 	Yes No No
	Yes No
terminated action in this court?	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	nts case filed by the same individual?
	Yes O No O
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. D Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. M Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
8. Habeas Corpus	9. All other Diversity Cases
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	·
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	TIFICATION
(Check Appropriate C	
1, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby certs M Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	Other state of the state of the state of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
3/7/2017	ARK2484
DATE: 2/7/2017 Attomoy-qt-Law	ARK2484 Attorney I.D.# 91538
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not paidled to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this cours
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not resulted any case now pointing of except as noted above.	
	4 DVO404
DATE: 2/7/2017	ARK2484
Attorney-at-Luw CIV, 609 (5/2012)	Attorney 1.D.# 91538
mark a rest growth	

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
RODRIGUEZ, GILBERT				PARADISE MANAGEMENT, LLC d/b/a GLEN AT LAFAYETTE HILL					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence	of First List	ed Defendant	Montgomer	у	
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
				THE HOLET	OI ERAID III				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A				Attorneys (If Known)					
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, P	.C., 3331 Street Road,	Two Greenwood	Square,						
Suite 128, Bensalem, PA	19020, (215) 639-080	 akarpf@karpf-la 	aw.com						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Bax Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government	M 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF					
Plaintiff			Citizen of This State						1 4
2 U.S. Government		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State						
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗗 3	Foreign Nation	·, ·	O 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	essession in the second	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	DAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	FS
CONTRACT		PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure	1	eal 28 USC 158	375 False C		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	•	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal	☐ 400 State R	eapportion	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 69	0 Other	28 L	JSC 157	410 Antitru 430 Banks		no
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Comune		ng
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy	rights/	☐ 460 Deport		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	.		☐ 830 Pater ☐ 840 Trad		470 Racket	eer Influer t Organiza	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	"		D 640 1140	Citial K	☐ 480 Consur		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	74200	LABOR		SECURITY	☐ 490 Cable/5		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud	RTY 🗗 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff) k Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securit Exchai		iodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	37) Truth in Lending	O 72	0 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other 8	Statutory A	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Relations	□ 864 SSII		B91 Agricu		
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		IO Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	(405(g))	893 Enviror		
☐ 196 Franchise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	' ' '	Leave Act			Act		
	Medical Malpractice	Langaran anamara		00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDED	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitm ☐ 899 Admin		rocedure
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:	Na U /s	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	4	view or A	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		•	1	efendant)		y Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	te			-Third Party JSC 7609	950 Constit	-	of
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General	1		1 200	73C 7009	Jane 3	tatutes	
290 All Other Real Property	1445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	D 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION					
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration	. i				
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition							
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			l				
		Confinement			<u> </u>				
	in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reir Reo		erred from	6 Multidistr Litigation			
		••		(specify)		·			
	LAmericans w/Di	atule under which you a sabilities Act "AF	ме инпв () А" (42)	Do not cite jurisdictional stat USC12101): FMLA	(29USC	2601)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	ause:				·····			
		ADA, FMLA an							
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTIO	N D	EMAND S		CHECK YES only			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	23, F.R.Cv.P.				IURY DEMAND:	X Yes	CJ No)
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)								
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE -	~		DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNE	OF RECORD					
2/7/2017		DIGITAT ORE OF A	V	3====					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		- (1							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		
							Rese	1	
Print	Save As	100				1	تنتنا		256131